

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಅ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ Government managed schools, District Board managed schools ಅಂತೆ.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I have already stated that out of the N. E. S. funds, no building for a private school can be built.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—(Marathi).

Applications received from the Harijans for land acquisition for Harijan Colonies in Bidar District.

*Q.—242. Sri J. P. SARWESH (Serum).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of applications received from Harijans for land acquisition for Harijan Colonies in Bidar District for the last three years ;

(b) the stages at which they are pending ;

(c) the reasons for the delay ?

A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Nine.

(b) A statement is given below.

(c) The delay is due to want of suitable site, the cases having been reserved for spot inspection by the concerned authorities, unwillingness of the parties to occupy some of the new sites and litigations in the courts.

(SRI M. V. KRISHNAPPA)

STATEMENT

Sl. No.	No. of Applications (cases) received for L.A. for Harijan colonies, for the last three years.	Date on which the cases were started.	Office in which they are pending.	Stages at which they are pending.
1	Dhumansur Village, Humnabad Taluk.	28-4-1959	District Social Welfare Officer, Bidar.	For spot inspection.
2	Kherda Buzrug Village, Bhalki Taluk.	27-6-1959	Assistant Commissioner, Bidar.	The case at the award stage.
3	Madkanti Village, Bhalki Taluk.	9-7-1959	Do	6(1A) directive has been issued vide Government letter No. RDH 166 LPD 62 on 28th January 1963.
4	Raipalli Village, Bhalki Taluk.	27-6-1960	Compiler, Mysore Gazette.	For publication of Notification u/s (6).
5	Halsi Village, Bhalki Taluk.	9-12-1959	Do	For publication of Notification u/s (4).
6	Saigaon Village, Bhalki Taluk.	16-3-1961	Assistant Commissioner, Bidar.	At the award stage.
7	Gorta Buzrug Village, Bhalki Taluk.	6-12-1961	Do	For spot inspection.
8	Narayanpur Village, Humnabad Taluk.	7-2-1961	Tahasildar, Humnabad Taluk.	Award stage.
9	Ambesangvi Village, Bhalki Taluk.	21-12-1960	Tahasildar, Bhalki Taluk.	Want of requisite information.

SRI J. P. SARWESH.—On page 10, it is stated that the application from Dhumansur village is pending for spot inspection, at the office of the District Social Welfare Officer, Bidar since, 1959. Why such a prolonged delay?

SRI M. V. KRISHNAPPA.—It does not mean that since 1959 the Social Welfare officer has not inspected.—It may be, at various stages regular proceedings have been taken and at some stage, the officer has to go for spot inspection. This date does not indicate the spot inspection. It indicates the date on which proceedings have been started.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—What is the time taken by the Social Welfare Inspector to inspect the spot?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಬಂದೇ ದಿವಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಆಗಬಹುದು. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 4-5 ದಿವಸವಾಗಬಹುದು.

Deposit of money by the residents of Kachuvanahalli of Sathanur Hobli, towards acquisition of land for Village Extension.

***Q.—258 Sri K. L. SHIVALINGE GOWDA (Virupakshipura).—**

Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) whether the residents of Kachuvanahalli of Sathanur Hobli, Kanakapura Taluk, have deposited money towards acquisition of land for Village Extension in the Taluk Treasury;

(b) if so, the reasons for the delay in granting the sites to the villagers;

(c) has it been brought to the notice of the Government that these amounts have been mis-used by the Village Panchayat Chairman and Pachayat Clerk?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (MINISTER FOR CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of reply to Clause (a)

(c) Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ಶಿವಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—'ಆ' ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. 'ಇ' ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ದಿವಾಜಿಟ್ ಮಾಡದೇ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಪ್ರಮೇಯ ಬಂತಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಡೆ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದ್ದದ್ದು ಖಜರಿಗೆ ಜಮಾ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೋ ಹೇಗೆ ಅಂತ. ಖಜರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಪಂಚಾಯ್ತಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮೊದಲನೆಯದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಅಂತ ಎರಡನೆಯದಕ್ಕೆ 'ಬಂದಿದೆ' ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri S. RAJAGOPAL.—What is the amount received since then?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—It is nearly Rs. 1,800.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—What steps have been taken against the people who mis-used the amounts?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—The amount was deposited by the villagers for the purpose of acquisition of land but the village panchayat has spent it on development works. Therefore, it is not exactly 'misappropriation' but it may be mis-application of funds. Action is proposed to be taken to a grant of loan to this panchayat for the purpose of acquisition.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ಶಿವಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾದ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಬಹುದೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ? ಅದು misappropriation ಅಲ್ಲವೇ?